UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/573,318	03/24/2006	Takashi Fukuizumi	10921.0390USWO	7138
52835 7590 12/22/2009 HAMRE, SCHUMANN, MUELLER & LARSON, P.C. P.O. BOX 2902			EXAMINER	
			PASCUA, JES F	
MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55402-0902			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3782	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			12/22/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/573,318	FUKUIZUMI, TAKASHI			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Jes F. Pascua	3782			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DOWN THE MAILING DOWN THE MAILING DOWN THE MAILING DOWN THE MEDICAL STATE OF TH	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from , cause the application to become ABANDONE	L. viely filed the mailing date of this communication.			
Status					
Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>06 N</u> This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This Since this application is in condition for alloward closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final.				
Disposition of Claims					
4) Claim(s) 1.2 and 4 is/are pending in the application Papers 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1.2 and 4 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or are subject to restriction and/or are subject to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on 06 November 2009 is/a Applicant may not request that any objection to the	wn from consideration. r election requirement. r. ure: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ object drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 					
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 11/6/09.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ite			

Application/Control Number: 10/573,318 Page 2

Art Unit: 3782

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 11/06/2009 has been entered.

Specification

2. The specification is objected to as failing to provide proper antecedent basis for the claimed subject matter. See 37 CFR 1.75(d)(1) and MPEP § 608.01(o). Correction of the following is required: The specification lacks antecedent basis for the terminology "wider width portion" and "narrower width portion".

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 1, 2 and 4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Japanese Document No. 2003-146337 to Watanabe (hereafter, Watanabe),

Japanese Document No. 11-208676 to Tomiyoshi et al. (hereafter, Tomiyoshi et al.) and Japanese Document No. 2001-48193 to Gomi (hereafter, Gomi).

Watanabe discloses the claimed device, especially each of the side sealing portions including an inclined portion for connection to an adjacent cross sealing portion, wherein the inclined portion has a larger sealing width than other portion of the side sealing portion. However, Watanabe does not disclose for the side sealing portions having a plurality of projecting portions and the first and second elongated flexible sheets having first and second wider width portions and a narrower width portion therebetween. Tomiyoshi et al. teaches that it is known in the art to provide the side sealing portions of an analogous pouch with a plurality of projecting portions. Tomiyoshi et al. further teaches that it is known in the art to provide the first and second elongated flexible sheets of an analogous pouch with first and second wider width portions and a narrower width portion therebetween. It would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide for the side sealing portions having a plurality of projecting portions and the first and second elongated flexible sheets having first and second wider width portions and a narrower width portion therebetween, as suggested by Tomiyoshi et al., since a change in form or shape is generally recognized as being within the level of ordinary skill in the art, absent any showing of unexpected results.

Watanabe and Tomiyoshi et al. disclose the claimed device, as discussed above, except for the side sealing portions being provided as a plurality of side sealing portions extending lengthwise of first and second elongated flexible sheets with a plurality of

cross sealing portions spaced from each other lengthwise of the sheets. Gomi discloses that it is known in the art to provide a plurality of side sealing portions extending lengthwise of first and second elongated flexible sheets with a plurality of cross sealing portions spaced from each other lengthwise of the sheets to form an analogous pouch. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide the side sealing portions of the modified Watanabe pouch as a plurality of side sealing portions extending lengthwise of first and second elongated flexible sheets with a plurality of cross sealing portions spaced from each other lengthwise of the sheets, as suggested by Gomi, in order to successively manufacture a plurality of pouches.

Response to Arguments

5. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1, 2 and 4 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

- 6. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.
- 7. Applicant is duly reminded that a complete response must satisfy the requirements of 37 C.F. R. 1.111, including: "The reply must present arguments pointing out the specific distinctions believed to render the claims, including any newly presented

claims, patentable over any applied references. A general allegation that the claims "define a patentable invention" without specifically pointing out how the language of the claims patentably distinguishes them from the references does not comply with the requirements of this section. Moreover, "The prompt development of a clear Issue requires that the replies of the applicant meet the objections to and rejections of the claims." Applicant should also specifically point out the support for any amendments made to the disclosure. See MPEP 714.02 and MPEP 2163.06. The "disclosure" includes the claims, the specification and the drawings.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jes F. Pascua whose telephone number is 571-272-4546. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon.-Thurs..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Nathan J. Newhouse can be reached on 571-272-4544. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Application/Control Number: 10/573,318 Page 6

Art Unit: 3782

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Jes F. Pascua/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3782